SPECIAL REPORT

Fifty Domestic Violence Myths



Respecting Accuracy in Domestic Abuse Reporting
P.O. Box 775
Westfield, New Jersey 07090

www.mediaradar.org

Effective abuse-reduction programs need to be grounded in verifiable facts about the nature, extent, and causes of domestic violence. An early incident reveals this may not always be the case:

On January 28, 1993, a press conference was held in Pasadena, California to issue an ominous warning that the upcoming Super Bowl would be the "biggest day of the year for violence against women." Based on that dire prediction, an article in the *Oakland Tribune* warned the event could cause men to "explode like mad linemen, leaving girlfriends, wives, and children beaten." A national advisory was issued with this blunt advice: "Don't remain at home with him during the game."

Three days later the *Washington Post* ran a front-page story revealing there was in fact no evidence to support such claims. A representative of the Massachusetts Coalition of Battered Women's Services later decried to the *Boston Globe* how the Super Bowl story "sensationalized and trivialized" the problem of domestic violence.

This episode later came to be known as the Super Bowl hoax. It would not be an isolated event. Indeed, rogue abuse statistics have become sufficiently widespread that researchers have published articles designed to refute such claims. 1,2,3

These myths have been disseminated by well-known political figures, government agencies, the mass media, and advocacy groups. Respected professional organizations such as the American Bar Association, American Psychological Association, and the American Medical Association have become parties to the disinformation, as well.

This Special Report compiles and analyzes 50 domestic violence (DV) claims made by various organizations and in legislative bills. But first we discuss the fundamental premise of domestic violence advocates, that patriarchal dominance lies at the root of partner aggression.

Does Patriarchy Cause Domestic Violence?

Domestic violence programs often make the claim that "domestic violence is all about power and control." Indeed, it appears that our entire approach to stopping domestic violence programs has been premised on the belief that patriarchal dominance is the fundamental cause of the problem.⁴

Lenore Walker once explained, "The causes of men's violence against women include preservation of men's need for power and status." Likewise two leading practitioners have posited that "men in contrast [to women] appear to use violence to dominate and control." The Power and Control Wheel, which depicts strategies that persons can use to exert influence over another, is an educational tool used widely by domestic violence advocates.

But research paints a very different reality:

- One study found Mexican men who valued dominance and independence were *less* likely to resort to partner aggression.⁷
- One review concludes, "When comparing men's and women's use of controlling behaviors, research using nonselected samples has found that there are no differences in their overall use."
- Meta-analyses found no consistent link between traditional gender attitudes and partner assault. 9,10
- A 32-nation survey documented a link between dominance and physical aggression, but the connection turned out to be stronger for female-initiated than male-initiated aggression.¹¹

So interpersonal dominance has been found to have less impact, greater impact, or no impact on partner aggression, depending on the population surveyed and the way dominance is measured.

Psychologist Donald Dutton has termed the patriarchal dominance model a "fallacy." And clearly the patriarchal dominance theory cannot account for the existence of female-initiated violence, in particular the higher rates of partner aggression among lesbian couples. Despite the remarkable absence of scientific verification, many of the myths discussed in this Special Report can be traced back to a presumed power imbalance between intimate partners.

Analysis of Domestic Violence Myths

Below are 50 domestic violence claims organized into eight categories, along with an analysis of each claim. Most of these assertions appear widely in domestic violence programs and presentations.

A. Incidence and Nature of Domestic Violence

No.	Claim	Analysis
1	"Violence against women"	Many DV claims begin with this phrase, implying
		intimate partner violence against men is so infrequent as
		to be unworthy of mention. Nearly 250 scholarly studies
		show women are at least as likely as men to engage in
		partner aggression ¹⁴ and that partner violence is often
		mutual. ¹⁵
2	According to the FBI, a	The FBI does not tabulate information on domestic
	woman is beaten every (fill in	violence. ¹⁶
	the blank) seconds.	
3	One in four women	Approximately equal numbers of men and women
	experience domestic violence	experience domestic violence during their lifetimes. The
	sometime in their lifetimes.	reported number of victims varies depending on how
		aggression is defined.

4	Women are victims of 85% of all cases of domestic violence.	This statistic from the National Crime Victimization Survey understates and distorts the true incidence of domestic violence, since victimized men are less likely to view partner aggression as a "crime." ^{17,18}
5	Domestic violence kills as many women every five years as the number of U.S. soldiers killed in Viet Nam.	This number is nearly eight times greater than the true figure, according to Department of Justice data. ¹⁹
6	When women engage in domestic violence, it is only for reasons of self-defense.	Self-defense accounts for only 10-20% of female partner aggression. 20,21,22
7	The fact that only one in four victims of partner homicide is male shows that domestic violence by women is a negligible problem.	A woman's initiation of violence is the strongest predictor of her subsequently becoming a victim of intimate partner aggression. ²³
8	92% of homeless women experience severe physical or sexual abuse at some time in their lifetimes.	This figure, cited in HR 590, ²⁴ comes from a single study done in Massachusetts and ignores the existence of domestic violence against homeless men. ²⁵
9	Minor incidents of domestic violence always escalate to full-scale battering.	In the majority of cases, partner aggression does not escalate, and in many cases attenuates without external intervention. ^{26,27}
10	A marriage license is a hitting license.	Fewer than 5% of domestic violence incidents involve couples in an intact married relationship. ²⁸ Marriage is the safest partner relationship.
11	At least 40% of law enforcement families experience domestic violence.	This claim, made by the National Center for Women and Policing, ²⁹ is based on studies that surveyed <i>all</i> forms of family conflict, including arguments and loss of temper. ³⁰ Most instances of family conflict do not involve physical violence.
12	Batterers are not fringe characters, but rather persons whom society regards as normal.	Studies of both male ³¹ and female ^{32,33} offenders show personality disorders are far more common among these persons. As violence becomes more chronic and severe, the likelihood of psychopathology approaches 100%. ³⁴

B. Causes of Domestic Violence

No.	Claim	Analysis
13	Domestic violence is all about	This mantra-like assertion was analyzed in the
	power and control.	Does Patriarchy Cause Domestic Violence?
		section of this Special Report.
14	Men who assault their wives	This gender-baiting claim is contradicted by the
	are living up to cultural	fact that domestic violence generally is <i>not</i>
	prescriptions that are	condoned in American society. Only 2.5% of US
	cherished in Western	males approve of slapping a wife to keep her in
	society. ³⁵	line, ³⁶ whereas many more persons believe that a
		wife slapping her husband is acceptable. ³⁷

15	Men are controlling in their relationships with partners.	A need for control is not a common cause of domestic violence, and when it is, women are as likely as men to be controlling. ^{38,39}
16	Domestic violence committed by women is justifiable, while partner aggression by men is not.	This claim represents an obvious double standard.
17	Domestic violence is not caused by poor anger management, communication problems, jealousy, stressful living conditions, childhood experiences, or economic conditions.	All of these have been found to be important risk factors for domestic violence. 40,41 For example, partner aggression is far more common among low-income partners. 42
18	Men and women engage in domestic violence for fundamentally different reasons.	A study of causes of domestic violence found that 12 of the 14 reasons applied to both men and women. ⁴³

C. Consequences of Domestic Violence

No.	Claim	Analysis
19	Domestic violence is the	According to the US Department of Health and Human
	leading cause of injury to	Services, the leading causes of injury to women are
	women.	unintentional falls, motor vehicle accidents, and over-
		exertion. Domestic violence doesn't appear on the list of
		leading causes of injury. ⁴⁴
20	22% of all visits by females	This figure comes from a now-outdated study of an inner
	to emergency rooms are for	city hospital in Detroit, which found over one-third of the
	injuries from domestic	victims were actually men. 45 The actual national figure is
	assaults.	less than 1%. 46
21	The March of Dimes reports	The March of Dimes has never conducted such a study. ⁴⁷
	that battering during	
	pregnancy is the leading	
	cause of birth defects.	
22	Women can't walk out on an	This claim is true in some cases, but is one-sided because
	abusive relationship because	it ignores the fact that men can't leave an abusive
	they are fearful of losing their	relationship because they may fear for their child's safety
	home and means of financial support.	or worry about losing the relationship with their children.
23	The annual cost of domestic	This figure, cited in HR 739, 48 has never been verified by
	violence is \$13 billion.	a reputable researcher. According to the Centers for
		Disease Control, the annual cost for female victims of
		domestic violence is about \$5.8 billion. ⁴⁹ The cost for
		male victims is unknown.
24	The annual medical costs for	This figure, cited in HR 739, has never been verified. ⁵⁰
	domestic violence are \$31	According to the Department of Justice, the correct
	billion.	number is about \$2 billion. ⁵¹

D. False Allegations

No.	Claim	Analysis
25	False allegations of domestic	One study found 71% of civil restraining orders were
	violence are almost non-	unnecessary or false. ⁵² Another analysis found over half
	existent.	of restraining orders did not involve even an allegation of
		violence. ⁵³
26	If we were to prosecute	False allegations weaken the credibility of true victims,
	persons who commit perjury,	making it <i>less</i> likely they will file a complaint. False
	true victims would be less	allegations also undermine public support for the national
	likely to come forward.	effort to stop domestic violence.
27	Even if they are not true,	False allegations divert needed services and resources
	allegations of domestic	away from true victims of violence. This claim reveals an
	violence help assure the	easy disregard for the rights of the falsely accused.
	domestic violence issue	
	remains in the public eye.	

E. Sexual Assault

No.	Claim	Analysis
28	According to Government estimates, approximately 987,400 rapes occur annually in the US.	This statement was made in HR 739. The actual number of rapes reported by the FBI is 90,427, <i>one-tenth</i> the number claimed in the bill. ⁵⁴
29	One in four women has been a victim of rape or attempted rape.	This claim by Mary Koss has been criticized on many grounds. For example, only 27% of women classified by the researchers as rape victims actually viewed themselves as victims of rape, and 42% of the putative victims later had sex with their "attackers." ⁵⁵
30	Since 2001, rapes have actually increased by 4 percent.	This claim was made in HR 739. The FBI reports that female rapes have fallen dramatically since the 1970s. From 2001 to 2005 the rate of rapes continued to decline (0.6/1,000 women in 2001 to 0.5/1,000 women in 2005). 56
31	89 percent of rapes are perpetrated against female victims.	This claim from HR 739 ignores the problem of male rape in prisons. A Human Rights Watch report cites a study that found 140,000 male inmates are raped each year in the United States, ⁵⁷ a number that is higher than the FBI report of female rapes.
32	Almost 50 percent of sexual assault survivors lose their jobs or are forced to quit in the aftermath of the assaults.	This statistic from HR 739 is an incidental finding from a non-representative sample of 27 women in the Atlanta, GA area. This figure has never been replicated.
33	One in four teenage girls has been in a relationship in which she was pressured into performing sexual acts by her partner.	This claim was made in HR 590. The actual percentages are 11.9% of teenage girls and 6.1% of teenage boys. ⁵⁹

F. Legal/Law Enforcement Response to Domestic Violence

No.	Claim	Analysis
34	From the very beginning,	The Body of Liberties adopted in 1641 by the
	American jurisprudence has	Massachusetts Bay colonists states, "Every married
	viewed wife-beating as an	woman shall be free from bodily correction or stripes by
	acceptable practice.	her husband, unless it be in his own defense from her
		assault."
35	The expression "rule of	The phrase "rule of thumb" does not appear in legal
	thumb" refers to the diameter	treatises on English common law. ⁶⁰
	of a stick or rod for which	
	wife-beating was considered	
	legal.	
36	Domestic violence is such a	There is no good evidence that a draconian criminal
	heinous crime that it warrants	justice response deters domestic violence, but a "get
	harsh criminal justice	tough on crime" approach may in fact place persons at
27	measures.	greater risk of victimization. ⁶¹
37	Restraining orders should be	There is little evidence that restraining orders prevent
	made freely available to victims of abuse.	future violence, ⁶² , ⁶³ , ⁶⁴ and sometimes they escalate the conflict. ⁶⁵
38		
30	Mandatory arrest has been proven to be effective in	Mandatory arrest laws <i>increase</i> , not reduce, the risk of subsequent partner violence. ⁶⁶
	stopping future violence.	subsequent partner violence.
39	Domestic violence cases are	Felony domestic assaults are less likely, not more likely,
3)	treated more leniently than	to be dismissed by the court than non-domestic assaults. ⁶⁷
	other types of crime.	to be distinssed by the court than non domestic assaults.
40	Women who kill their	The average prison sentence for men who have killed
	batterers receive longer	their wives was 17.5 years; the average sentence for
	prison sentences than men	women convicted of killing their husbands was 6.2
	who kill their partners.	years. ⁶⁸

G. The Workplace

No.	Claim	Analysis
41	According to the General Accounting Office, between 1/4 and 1/2 of domestic violence victims reported that they lost a job due, at least in	The GAO report cited in HR 739 states a very different conclusion: "we cannot conclude that being a victim of domestic violence changes the likelihood that a woman will work." 69
42	part, to domestic violence. 35-56% of employed battered women are harassed at work by their abusive partners. ⁷⁰	This claim from HR 739 is based on three small, uncontrolled, and outdated studies that lack scientific validity. The respondents represent a highly selected population (women from abuse shelters) and the results are based on unverified self-reports.

43	Female victims of intimate	This one-sided statistic from HR 739 comes from a
	partner violence lose	Centers for Disease Control report that omits
	8,000,000 days of paid work	consideration of male victims of domestic violence. ⁷¹
	each year.	
44	Homicide is the leading cause	This claim was made in HR 739. The leading cause of
	of death for women on the	fatal workplace injuries to women is actually
	job.	transportation incidents (43%). Homicides represent 35%
		of all fatal workplace injuries to females. ⁷²

H. Children and Custody¹

No.	Claim	Analysis
45	Abusive parents are more likely to seek sole custody than nonviolent ones.	This claim is derived from an American Psychological Association publication containing numerous claims that lack a scientific basis. The task force that produced this publication was headed by Lenore Walker, who was instrumental in organizing the Super Bowl hoax. The APA publication has now been withdrawn.
46	25–50% of disputed custody cases involve domestic violence.	Many custody cases involve an <i>allegation</i> of domestic violence. However, only a minority of these allegations are substantiated as true.
47	False allegations are no more common in divorce or custody disputes than at any other time.	False allegations of sexual abuse in fact appear to be far more common during custody disputes. 75
48	Children are safer with their mothers than with their fathers.	Data from the Department of Health and Human Services shows that 71% of children killed by one parent were killed by their mothers. ⁷⁶
49	Abusive fathers are successful in winning sole child custody about 70% of the time.	This figure appears to be an embellishment of a claim in a 1989 report by the Gender Bias Committee of the Massachusetts Supreme Judicial Court which claimed that in 70% of cases, fathers (not abusive fathers) were successful in winning some form of child custody, though not necessarily physical custody or sole custody. A re-analysis of the data concluded that "when mothers sought sole custody, the court granted the request at a rate 65% higher than it did when fathers made the same request."
50	Allegations of domestic violence have no demonstrated effect on the rate at which persons are awarded custody of their children.	This claim is refuted by a study that found judges were more likely to award sole custody to the non-perpetrator. ⁷⁹

¹ The claims in this section come from the American Bar Association's sheet, "10 Myths about Custody and Domestic Violence and How to Counter Them."

Twelve Strategies to Disguise the Truth

In reviewing the 50 domestic violence myths, the distortion strategies are found to fall into one of 12 categories:

1. Make evidence-free claims

This is the most common way the truth is distorted. Perhaps the most common example is, "Men are overwhelmingly the perpetrators of domestic violence," an assertion routinely made without evidence or proof.

2. Use definitional ruses

Some persons use studies on verbal disagreements and arguments to claim the study results are indicative of physical violence. Other persons use the word "battering" to refer to any form of partner conflict, physical or not.

3. Rely on information from crime surveys

Domestic violence programs often use results from the National Crime Victimization Survey, even though it is known that the NCVS underestimates and distorts the true extent of partner abuse.

4. Make faulty generalizations

Persons often take information from a single incident or from a self-selected group such as abuse shelter residents, and then generalize this to the entire community.

5. Collect information only on male-on-female violence

This is a commonly-employed strategy. For example, the World Health Organization did an international survey on domestic violence, but neglected to interview men or to inquire about female-initiated aggression. ⁸⁰

6. Withhold data on female-perpetrated violence

Some studies have collected information on female-perpetrated aggression but then withheld that data when reporting the research results. 81 Examples include:

- a. A survey for the Kentucky Commission on the Status of Women collected information on both male and female perpetrators, but only the data on male abusers was published.⁸²
- b. One study of intimate partner terrorism ignored its own data on female-perpetrated violence. 83

7. Misrepresent the findings of prior research

Examples include:

- a. The WHO World Report on Violence and Health claims, "Where violence by women occurs, it is more likely to be in the form of self-defense." But the three studies cited by the report actually show self-defense is an infrequent explanation for female violence. 85
- b. One author stated her previous research showed "Males and females were found to differ in their motivations for using violence in relationships." But

her actual findings reveal males and females have very similar motivations for partner violence.

8. Publish factually-deficient "fact sheets"

Many domestic violence fact sheets purport to debunk misconceptions, but in fact create new myths and reinforce old ones. For example, the American Bar Association's "10 Myths about Custody and Domestic Violence and How to Counter Them" has been found to contain many more misrepresentations than true statements of fact. ^{87,88}

- 9. Reject grant applications that propose to study male victimization
- Two documented cases illustrate that the grant award process has become biased:
 - a. A 2005 solicitation from the DoJ National Institute of Justice prohibited "proposals for research on intimate partner violence against, or stalking of males of any age..."⁸⁹
 - b. In one case, a reviewer gave a lower score to a proposed study because the application described partner violence as a "human problem of aggression, not a gender-based problem."⁹⁰

10. Instigate legal action

A Florida researcher planned to evaluate the effectiveness of an abuser intervention program. But a zealous prosecutor took her to court, charging the study was unethical because they "already knew" such programs work.⁹¹

11. Resort to defamatory gender stereotypes

When Erin Pizzey, founder of the first abuse shelter in the world, toured England to publicize her book *Prone to Violence*, she was met by angry demonstrators carrying placards that read, "All men are rapists, All men are batterers." ⁹²

12. Employ pressure tactics

Family violence researchers have been subjected to slander campaigns, denial of promotion and tenure, and more for presenting information about female-initiated violence. ⁹³ Erin Pizzey once described how persons tried to deny the existence of aggressive women: "Abusive telephone calls to my home, death threats, and bomb scares, became a way of living for me and for my family. Finally, the bomb squad asked me to have all my mail delivered to their head quarters."

Researchers worry such tactics have created a "climate of fear that has inhibited research and publication" in this important field. ⁹⁵

Nine of Ten Claims are False

This Special Report identifies 50 domestic violence claims and reveals how these assertions are false. But the concern is not merely the large number of dishonest assertions. The problem is, the widespread existence of such myths has come to overshadow the truth of domestic violence. Three examples illustrate this phenomenon:

- 1. The American Bar Association's flyer, 10 Myths about Custody and Domestic Violence and How to Counter Them, contains 19 claims, of which 89% are unsupported, misleading, or wrong. 96
- 2. House Resolution 590 contains 22 findings. Twenty of them 91% -- were found to be one-sided, misleading, unverifiable, or simply false. ⁹⁷ Only two findings (pertaining to the need for abuse education programs in schools and to the risks to children exposed to domestic violence) were true.
- 3. The Security and Financial Empowerment (SAFE) Act, HR 739, contains 53 findings, of which 92% are found to be misleading, outdated, unverifiable, exaggerated, or wrong. 98

Overall, nine out of 10 claims made in these documents are myths, what professor Richard Gelles has somewhat whimsically referred to as "factoids from nowhere."

Myths Don't Help True Victims

This Special Report documents how exaggerated, misleading, and false statements about domestic violence have become commonplace. Indeed, many of these misrepresentations appear to be intentional.⁹⁹

Journalist Philip Cook has analyzed the widespread existence of these myths and reveals how resistant these myths are to correction. Cook concludes, "there is more false, falsely framed, or disingenuously deceptive information about domestic violence than any other significant public and social issue." ¹⁰⁰

These myths exert a myriad of harmful effects. ¹⁰¹ In legal jurisprudence, such bias "creates unsupported presumptions of blame, presumptions of merit, presumptions of what may be in the best interests of children." ¹⁰²

The myths also impede the ability of programs to respond to the needs of victims and offenders. As researcher Miriam Ehrensaft explains, findings from recent studies remain "largely overlooked or discounted." In particular, these myths have served to divert our attention away from female-instigated and mutual violence.

The cumulative effect has been to hamper the overall effectiveness of abuse reduction programs. The National Research Council has expressed its concern that most domestic violence programs are "driven by ideology and stakeholder interests." As a result, "We have no evidence to date that VAWA has led to a decrease in the overall levels of violence against women," decries one Department of Justice official. 105

Even worse, "these factoids and theory might actually be harmful to women, men, children, and the institution of the family," explains researcher Richard Gelles. ¹⁰⁶ Indeed, there is evidence that abuse-reduction programs are escalating partner conflict and discouraging victims from getting the help they need. ^{107,108,109}

The choice is clear: Either we continue to disseminate misleading and false information that conforms to a self-serving ideological agenda. Or we move forward in our shared goal to help families become violence-free.

References

¹ Dutton DG, Corvo K.. Transforming a flawed policy: A call to revive psychology and science in domestic violence research and practice. *Aggression and Violent Behavior*, Vol. 11, No. 5, pp. 457-483.

² Gelles RJ. The politics of research: The use, abuse, and misuse of social science data—The cases of intimate partner violence. *Family Court Review*, Vol. 45, No. 1, 2007.

³ Straus MA. Processes explaining the concealment and distortion of evidence on gender symmetry in partner violence. *European Journal of Criminal Policy and Research* Vol. 13, 2007. pp. 227-232. http://pubpages.unh.edu/~mas2/V74-gender-symmetry-with-gramham-Kevan-Method%208-.pdf

⁴ Dobash RE, Dobash RP. *Violence against Wives: A Case against the Patriarchy*. New York: Free Press, 1979.

⁵ Walker L. Psychology and violence against women. *American Psychologist* Vol. 44, 1989. pp. 695-702.

⁶ Hamberger LK, Guse CE. Men's and women's use of intimate partner violence in clinical samples. *Violence Against Women* Vol. 8, No. 11, 2002. pp. 1301-1331.

⁷ Kantor K, Jasnski JL, Aldarondo E. Sociocultural status and incidence of marital violence in Hispanic families. *Violence and Victims* Vol. 9, 1994. pp. 207-222.

⁸ Graham-Kevan N. Power and control in relationship aggression. In Hamel J and Nicholls TL (eds.): *Family Interventions in Domestic Violence*. New York: Springer Publishing Co., 2007.

⁹ Sugarman DB, Frankel SL. Patriarchal ideology and wife-assault: A meta-analytic review. *Journal of Family Violence* Vol. 17, 1996. pp. 13-40.

¹⁰ Felson RB, Outlaw MC. The control motive and marital behavior. *Violence and Victims*, Vol. 22, No. 4, 2007. pp. 387 - 407.

Straus MA. Dominance and symmetry in partner violence by male and female university students in 32 nations. *Children and Youth Services Review* Vol. 30, 2008. pp. 252-275.

¹² Dutton DG. Patriarchy and wife assault: The ecological fallacy. *Violence and Victims* Vol. 9, No. 2, 1994.

¹³ Lie G, Schilit R, Bush J, Montague M, Reyes L. Lesbians in currently aggressive relationships: How frequently do they report aggressive past relationships? *Violence and Victims* Vol. 6, 1991. pp. 121–135.

Fiebert MS. References examining assaults by women on their spouses or male partners: An annotated bibliography. Long Beach, CA: Department of Psychology, California State University, 2009. http://www.csulb.edu/~mfiebert/assault.htm

¹⁵ Whitaker DJ, Haileyesus T, Swahn M, Saltzman L. Differences in frequency of violence and reported injury between relationships with reciprocal and nonreciprocal intimate partner violence. *American Journal of Public Health*, Vol. 97, No. 5, 2007.

¹⁶ Gelles RJ. The politics of research: The use, abuse, and misuse of social science data—The cases of intimate partner violence. *Family Court Review* Vol. 45, No. 1, 2007.

¹⁷ Straus MA. The controversy over domestic violence by women: A methodological, theoretical, and sociology of science analysis. In Arriaga XB and Oskamp S (eds.): *Violence in Intimate Relationships*. Sage Publishers, 1999. http://pubpages.unh.edu/~mas2/CTS21.pdf

¹⁸ Stets JE and Straus MA. Gender differences in reporting marital violence and its medical and psychological consequences. In Straus MA and Gelles RJ (eds): *Physical Violence in American Families*, New Brunswick, NJ: Transaction Publishers, 1990. Table 15.

¹⁹ Rosenthal M. Origin of claim that domestic violence kills as many women every 5 years as the number of U.S. soldiers killed in Viet Nam. 2005.

http://www.breakingthescience.org/OriginOfComparisonBetweenDVDeathsAndVietNam.php

20 Stets J, Straus M. Gender differences in reporting marital violence. *Physical Violence in*

²⁰ Stets J, Straus M. Gender differences in reporting marital violence. *Physical Violence in American Families*. New Brunswick, NJ: Transaction Publishers, 1992. pp. 151-166.

²¹ Follingstad D, Wright S, Lloyd S, and Sebastian J. Sex differences in motivations and effects in dating relationships. *Family Relations*, Vol. 40, 1991, pp. 51–57.

²² Carrado M, George MJ, Loxam E, et al. Aggression in British heterosexual relationships: A descriptive analysis. *Aggressive Behavior*, Vol. 22, 1996. pp. 401–415.

²³ Stith S, Smith DB, Penn CE, et al. Intimate partner physical abuse perpetation and victimization risk factors: A meta-analytic review. *Aggression and Violent Behavior* Vol. 10, 2004. pp. 65-98.

²⁴ Respecting Accuracy in Domestic Abuse Reporting: Analysis of HR 590. August 16, 2007. www.mediaradar.org/docs/RADARanalysis-HRES590.pdf

²⁵ National Center on Family Homelessness. Violence in the Lives of Homeless Women. www.familyhomelessness.org/pdf/fact_violence.pdf

²⁶ O'Leary K, Barling J, Aria I, et al. Prevalence and stability of physical aggression between spouses: A longitudinal analysis. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, Vol. 57, 1989. pp. 263–268.

²⁷ Feld S, Straus M. Escalation and desistance of wife assault in marriage. *Criminology*, Vol. 1, 1989. pp. 141–161.

²⁸ Department of Justice. Intimate partner violence and age of victim, 1993–99. Washington, DC: Bureau of Justice. NCJ 187635, October 2001, Figure 4. http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov/bjs/abstract/ipva99.htm

²⁹ National Center for Women and Policing: Police Family Violence Fact Sheet. No date. www.womenandpolicing.org/violenceFS.asp

³⁰ Davis RL. Proactive domestic violence intervention for LE families. *PoliceOne.com News* February 13, 2006. www.policeone.com/writers/columnists/RichardDavis/articles/123264-Proactive-domestic-violence-intervention-for-LE-families/
³¹ Dutton D, Bodnarchuk M. Through a psychological lens: Personality disorder and spouse

³¹ Dutton D, Bodnarchuk M. Through a psychological lens: Personality disorder and spouse assault. In Loseke D, Gelles R, Cavanaugh M (eds.). *Current Controversies on Family Violence*, Thousand Oaks: Sage Publications 2005, p.14.

³² Carney MM, Buttell FP. A multidimensional evaluation of a treatment program for female batterers: A pilot study. *Research on Social Work Practice* Vol. 14, No. 4, 2004. pp. 249-258.

³³ Henning K, Feder L. A comparison of men and women arrested for domestic violence: Who presents the greater risk? *Journal of Family Violence*, Vol. 19, No. 2, 2004.

³⁴ Dutton DG. Patriarchy and wife assault: The ecological fallacy. *Violence and Victims* Vol. 9, No. 2, 1994.

³⁵ Dobash RE, Dobash RP. *Violence against Wives: A Case against the Patriarchy*. New York: Free Press, 1979.

³⁶ Simon TR, Anderson M, Thompson MP et al. Attitudinal acceptance of intimate partner violence among U.S. adults. *Violence and Victims* Vol. 16, No. 2, 2001. pp. 115-126.

³⁷ Straus MA, Kaufman KG, Moore DW. Change in cultural norms approving marital violence: From 1968 to 1994. In Kantor KG and Jasinski JL (eds.): *Out of the Darkness: Contemporary Perspectives on Family Violence*. Thousand Oaks: Sage Publications. 1997.

³⁸ Straus MA. Dominance and symmetry in partner violence by male and female university students in 32 nations. *Children and Youth Services Review* Vol. 30, 2008. pp. 252-275.

³⁹ Graham-Kevan N. Power and control in relationship aggression. In Hamel J and Nicholls TL (eds.): *Family Interventions in Domestic Violence*. New York: Springer Publishing Co., 2007.

⁴⁰ Medeiros RA, Straus MA. Risk factors for physical violence between dating partners. In Hamel J and Nicholls TL (eds.): *Family Interventions in Domestic Violence*. New York: Springer Publishing Co., 2007.

⁴¹ Dutton DG, Corvo K. Transforming a flawed policy: A call to revive psychology and science in domestic violence research and practice. *Aggression and Violent Behavior*, Vol. 11, No. 5, pp. 457-483.

⁴² Catalano S. Intimate partner violence in the United States: Victim characteristics. Washington, DC: Department of Justice. 2007. http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov/bjs/intimate/victims.htm

⁴³ Medeiros RA, Straus MA. Risk factors for physical violence between dating partners. In Hamel J and Nicholls TL (eds.): *Family Interventions in Domestic Violence*. New York: Springer Publishing Co., 2007.

⁴⁴ Department of Health and Human Services. Leading causes of injury among women aged 18 and old, by age, 2006. http://mchb.hrsa.gov/whusa08/hstat/hi/pages/226i.html

⁴⁵ Domestic violence victims in the Emergency Department. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, June 22-29, 1984.

⁴⁶ Cook P. *Abused Men: The Hidden Side of Domestic Violence*. Westport, CT: Praeger, 2009. p. 129.

⁴⁷ Gelles RJ. The politics of research: The use, abuse, and misuse of social science data—The cases of intimate partner violence. *Family Court Review* Vol. 45, No. 1, 2007.

⁴⁸ H.R. 539, Security and Financial Empowerment Act www.govtrack.us/congress/billtext.xpd?bill=h111-739

⁵² Foster BP. Analyzing the cost and effectiveness of governmental policies. *Cost Management* Vol. 22, No. 3, 2008.

⁵⁴ www.fbi.gov/ucr/cius2007/offenses/violent_crime/forcible_rape.html

⁵⁶ www.ojp.usdoj.gov/bjs/glance/tables/viortrdtab.htm

www.hrw.org/legacy/reports/2001/prison/report7.html#_1_48

⁵⁸ Ellis E, Atkeson B, Calhoun K, An assessment of the long term reaction to rape, *Journal of Abnormal Psychology* Vol. 50 No. 3, 1981.

⁵⁹ Centers for Disease Control. Youth Risk Behavior Surveillance --- United States, 2003. *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report*, May 21, 2004. Table 10. www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/ss5302a1.htm

⁶⁰ Sommers CH. Who Stole Feminism? New York: Simon and Schuster. 1994. pp. 203-204.

⁶¹ Respecting Accuracy in Domestic Abuse Reporting: Why have domestic violence programs failed to stop partner abuse? 2008. http://www.mediaradar.org/docs/RADARreport-Why-DV-Programs-Fail-to-Stop-Abuse.pdf

⁶² Grau J, Fagan J, and Wexler S. Restraining orders for battered women: Issues of access and efficacy. *Women and Politics*, Vol. 4, 1984, pp. 13–28.

⁶³ Harrell A and Smith B. Effects of restraining orders on domestic violence victims. In Buzawa C and Buzawa E (eds.): *Do Arrests and Restraining Orders Work?* Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications, 1996. p. 229.

⁶⁴ McFarlane J, Malecha A, Gist J et al. Protection orders and intimate partner violence: An 18-month study of 150 Black, Hispanic, and White women. *American Journal of Public Health*, Vol. 94, No. 4, pp. 613–618.

bugan L, Nagin D, and Rosenfeld R. Exposure reduction or backlash? The effects of domestic violence resources on intimate partner homicide. NCJ Number 186194, 2001. http://www.ncjrs.gov/app/Publications/Abstract.aspx?ID=186193

⁶⁶ Iyengar R. Does the certainty of arrest reduce domestic violence? Evidence from mandatory and recommended arrest laws. Cambridge, MA: National Bureau of Economic Research, June 2007.

⁶⁷ Cited in Young C. Domestic violence: An in-depth analysis. Washington, DC: Independent Women's Forum, 2005, p. 3.

⁶⁸ U.S. Department of Justice. *Domestic violence: Violence between intimates*. Washington, DC, 1994.

⁶⁹ GAO: Domestic Violence Prevalence and Implications for Employment among Welfare Recipients. GAO/HEHS-99-12. November 1998. www.gao.gov/archive/1999/he99012.pdf
⁷⁰ GAO: Domestic Violence Prevalence and Implications for Employment among Welfare Recipients. GAO/HEHS-99-12. November 1998. Appendix III.

www.gao.gov/archive/1999/he99012.pdf

⁴⁹ National Center for Injury Prevention and Control. Costs of Intimate Partner Violence Against Women in the United States, Atlanta: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2003.

⁵⁰ Zorza J. Women battering: High costs and the state of the law, *Clearinghouse Review*, Vol. 28, No. 4, 1994.

⁵¹ Miller TR, Cohen MA, Wiersema B. *Victim Costs and Consequences: A New Look.* Washington, DC: National Institute of Justice, U.S. Department of Justice. 1996. www.ncjrs.gov/pdffiles/costcrim.pdf

⁵³ Office of the Commissioner of Probation, Massachusetts Trial Court: The tragedies of domestic violence: A qualitative analysis of civil restraining orders. October 12, 1995.

⁵⁵ Sommers CH. Who Stole Feminism? New York: Simon and Schuster. 1994.

⁷² NIOSH: Violence in the Workplace: http://www.cdc.gov/niosh/violhomi.html

- ⁷³ American Psychological Association. *Violence and the Family: Report of the American Psychological Association Presidential Task Force on Violence and the Family.* Washington, DC. 1996.
- ⁷⁴ Communication with Julia Silva, Director, APA Adults and Children Working Together Against Violence Office, January 31, 2008.
- ⁷⁵ Trocme N, Bala N. False allegations of abuse and neglect when parents separate. *Child Abuse and Neglect*, Vol. 29, 2005. p. 1341.
- ⁷⁶ Rosenthal, M., 71% of children killed by one parent are killed by their mothers; 60% of victims are boys. 2008. http://www.breakingthescience.org/SimplifiedDataFromDHHS.php
- ⁷⁷ Abrams and Greaney, Gender Bias Study of the Supreme Judicial Court, Massachusetts Supreme Judicial Court, 1989.
- ⁷⁸ Rosenthal M. Misrepresentation of gender bias in the 1989 Report of the Gender Bias Committee of the Massachusetts Supreme Judicial Court. November 23, 2005. http://www.breakingthescience.org/SJC_GBC_analysis_intro.php#mbr_analysis
- ⁷⁹ Morril A, Dai J, Dunn S, Sung I, Smith K. Child custody and visitation decisions when the father has perpetrated violence against the mother. *Violence Against Women*, Vol. 11, No. 8, 2005.
- ⁸⁰ Garcia-Moreno C, Jansen HAFM, Ellsberg M, Heise L, Watts C. WHO Multi-Country Study on Women's Health and Domestic Violence Against Women. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization, 2005.
- 81 Straus MA. Processes explaining the concealment and distortion of evidence on gender symmetry in partner violence. *European Journal of Criminal Policy and Research* Vol. 13, 2007. pp. 227-232. http://pubpages.unh.edu/~mas2/V74-gender-symmetry-with-gramham-Kevan-Method%208-.pdf
- ⁸² Schulman M. A survey of spousal violence against women in Kentucky. Washington, DC, US Government Printing Office, 1979.
- ⁸³ Johnson MP and Leone JM. The differential effects of intimate terrorism and situational couple violence. *Journal of Family Issues* Vol. 26, No. 3, 2005. pp. 322-349.
- ⁸⁴ Krug EG et al. World Report on Violence and Health. Geneva: World Health Organization, 2002.
- 85 Straus MA. Processes explaining the concealment and distortion of evidence on gender symmetry in partner violence. *European Journal of Criminal Policy and Research* Vol. 13, 2007. pp. 227-232. http://pubpages.unh.edu/~mas2/V74-gender-symmetry-with-gramham-Kevan-Method%208-.pdf
- ⁸⁶ Kernsmith P. Exerting power or striking back: A gendered comparison of motivations for domestic violence perpetration. *Victims and Violence*, Vol. 20, No. 2, 2005, pp. 173-185.
- ⁸⁷ Dutton DG, Corvo DN, Hamel J. The gender paradigm in domestic violence research and practice, part II: The information website of the American Bar Association. *Aggression and Violent Behavior* Vol. 14, 2009. pp. 30-38.
- ⁸⁸ Respecting Accuracy in Domestic Abuse Reporting: Myths of the ABA Commission on Domestic Violence: Summary Report. 2008. http://www.mediaradar.org/docs/RADARreport-Myths-of-ABA-Commission-on-DV-Summary.pdf
- ⁸⁹ National Institute of Justice, Department of Justice, *Justice Responses to Intimate Partner Violence and Stalking*. November 5, 2005.
- ⁹⁰ Straus MA. Processes explaining the concealment and distortion of evidence on gender symmetry in partner violence. *European Journal of Criminal Policy and Research* Vol. 13, 2007.

⁷¹ National Center for Injury Prevention and Control. Costs of Intimate Partner Violence Against Women in the United States, Atlanta: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2003. www.cdc.gov/ncipc/pub-res/ipv_cost/ipv.htm

pp. 227-232. http://pubpages.unh.edu/~mas2/V74-gender-symmetry-with-gramham-Kevan-Method%208-.pdf

- ⁹¹ Babcock JC, Canady BE, Graham K, Schart L. The evolution of battering interventions: From the Dark Ages into the Scientific Age. In Hamel J and Nicholls TL (eds.): *Family Interventions in Domestic Violence*. New York: Springer Publishing Co., 2007. p. 222.
- ⁹² Pizzey E. Who's failing the family? *The Scotsman* March 30, 1999. http://fathersforlife.org/pizzey/failfamt.htm
- ⁹³ Straus MA. Gender symmetry in partner violence: The evidence, the denial, and the implications for primary prevention and treatment. In Lutzker JR and Whitaker DJ (eds.): *Prevention of Partner Violence*. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association, 2007.

94 Pizzey E. Prone to Violence. 1982. http://www.bennett.com/ptv/

- ⁹⁵ Straus MA. Processes explaining the concealment and distortion of evidence on gender symmetry in partner violence. *European Journal of Criminal Policy and Research* Vol. 13, 2007. pp. 227-232. http://pubpages.unh.edu/~mas2/V74-gender-symmetry-with-gramham-Kevan-Method%208-.pdf
- 96 Respecting Accuracy in Domestic Abuse Reporting: Myths of the ABA Commission on Domestic Violence: Summary Report. 2008. http://www.mediaradar.org/docs/RADARreport-Myths-of-ABA-Commission-on-DV-Summary.pdf
- ⁹⁷ Respecting Accuracy in Domestic Abuse Reporting: Analysis of HR 590. August 16, 2007.
 www.mediaradar.org/docs/RADARanalysis-HRES590.pdf
 Respecting Accuracy in Domestic Abuse Reporting: Most SAFE Act findings are misleading,
- ⁹⁸ Respecting Accuracy in Domestic Abuse Reporting: Most SAFE Act findings are misleading, unverifiable, or false. April 26, 2009. http://www.mediaradar.org/docs/RADARanalysis-HR739Findings.pdf
- ⁹⁹ Straus MA. Processes explaining the concealment and distortion of evidence on gender symmetry in partner violence. *European Journal of Criminal Policy and Research* Vol. 13, 2007. pp. 227-232. http://pubpages.unh.edu/~mas2/V74-gender-symmetry-with-gramham-Kevan-Method%208-.pdf
- Took P. Abused Men: The Hidden Side of Domestic Violence. Westport, CT: Praeger, 2009. p. 128.
- Dutton DG, Nicholls TL. The gender paradigm in domestic violence research and theory: Part I—The conflict of theory and data. *Aggression and Violent Behavior* Vol. 10, 2005. pp. 680-714.
- ¹⁰² Dutton DG, Corvo DN, Hamel J. The gender paradigm in domestic violence research and practice, part II: The information website of the American Bar Association. *Aggression and Violent Behavior* Vol. 14, 2009. pp. 30-38.
- ¹⁰³ Ehrensaft MK. Intimate partner violence: Persistence of myths and implications for prevention. *Children and Youth Services Review* Vol. 30, 2008. pp. 276-286.
 ¹⁰⁴ Kruttschnitt C, McLaughlin BL, and Petrie CV (eds). *Advancing the Federal Research Agenda*
- ¹⁰⁴ Kruttschnitt C, McLaughlin BL, and Petrie CV (eds). *Advancing the Federal Research Agenda on Violence against Women*. Washington, DC: National Research Council, 2005, p. 6.
- ¹⁰⁵ Parmley A. Violence against women post VAWA. *Violence Against Women* Vol. 10, No. 12, 2004. p. 1424.
- Gelles RJ. The politics of research: The use, abuse, and misuse of social science data—The cases of intimate partner violence. *Family Court Review*, Vol. 45, No. 1, 2007.
- ¹⁰⁷ Iyengar R. Does the certainty of arrest reduce domestic violence? Evidence from mandatory and recommended arrest laws. Cambridge, MA: National Bureau of Economic Research, June 2007.
- ¹⁰⁸ Mills LG. *Insult to Injury: Rethinking our Responses to Intimate Abuse*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 2003, p. 6.
- ¹⁰⁹ Crenshaw K. Mapping the margins: Intersectionality, identity politics, and violence against women of color. *Stanford Law Review*, Vol. 43, 1991. p. 1257.